

Last updated: September 10th, 2025

Research Poster

PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENCES IN HOW THIS EVENT WILL BE RUN AT HOSA CANADA'S FALL LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (FLC):

- 1. Students are NOT required to include any results at FLC only. Students SHOULD, however, propose methods and explain expected conclusions at FLC only.
- The PDF version of the Research Poster will be pre-judged using digital submissions at FLC. The submission link will be made available via the online course for this event. The submission deadline for FLC is 11:59 PM ET on October 31st, 2025.
- 3. Event will run according to the guidelines in the following pages, except that it will take place entirely online at FLC only.
 - *Students participating online will be expected to turn their cameras on for the entire duration of the event and show judges their surroundings to help minimize the occurrence of academic dishonesty.
- 4. Students will be emailed their presentation time slots ahead of time at FLC only.

PLEASE NOTE HOSA CANADA'S <u>SPRING LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (SLC)</u> WILL BE IN-PERSON AND THIS EVENT WILL BE RUN ACCORDING TO THE GUIDELINES IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES. EXCEPT FOR THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENCE:

1. The PDF version of the Research Poster will be pre-judged using digital submissions at FLC. The submission link will be made available via the online course for this event. The submission deadline for SLC is 11:59 PM ET on February 6th, 2026.



Research Poster

Leadership Event

Eligible Divisions: Secondary & Postsecondary / Collegiate	Round 1: pdf of Poster Pre- Judged	Digital Upload: YES
Solo Event: 1 competitor	Round 2: Presentation	Required Display Time: YES



New for 2025 - 2026

This event now has a Round 1 (pdf of the poster that will be pre-judged) and Round 2 (presentation). Editorial updates have been made.

Event Summary

Research Poster allows HOSA members to think critically about a health-related issue in their community, pose a research question surrounding the chosen topic, and conduct their own research on that topic. All competitors will develop a poster showcasing their findings and present their research to a panel of judges.

Dress Code

Proper business attire or official HOSA uniform. Bonus points will be awarded for proper dress.

Competitor Must Provide

- Uploaded poster (as a PDF) to the HOSA Digital Upload System by May 15 for ILC competition (see local advisor regarding SLC requirements and deadlines)
- For the Required Display Time and Round 2 Printed Research Poster (48" x 36" landscape orientation)
- Index cards or electronic notecards for presentation (optional)

HOSA Conference Staff will provide equipment and supplies as listed in Appendix I

General Rules

1. Competitors must be familiar with and adhere to the General Rules and Regulations.

The Research Question

- 2. Competitors must pose a topic and research question that can be researched in their community. The goal is for competitors to conduct their own research and not simply summarize someone else's.
- 3. Topics must be health-related, but flexibility is given to competitors to select something of interest and of local importance and relevance.
- 4. Examples of topics:
 - A. Community-Based Strategies to Reduce Mental Health Stigma
 - B. Combating Postpartum Depression in Teen Moms
 - C. Decreasing Juvenile Incarceration Rates by Increasing the Presence of Positive Male Role Models

The Research Process

- 5. Once the research question is identified, competitors will determine the best method(s) for conducting their research. Research methods may include, but are not limited to:
 - A. survey(s)
 - B. interviews
 - C. scientific study
 - D. observational ethnography

- 6. It is the competitor's responsibility to obtain informed consent from any participants involved in their research. While formal IRB approval is not required for HOSA projects, competitors are expected to follow ethical research practices and document content appropriately. For guidance, please refer to the HOSA FAQ.
- 7. The research must be conducted within the current HOSA membership year (July 1, 2025 May 15, 2026).

The Research Poster Content - Pre-judged Digitally

- 8. A Research Poster is developed to summarize the research question and research findings.
- 9. The best posters are self-contained and self-explanatory. Observers should be able to understand the content of your poster without the competitor being present.
- 10. The research poster will contain the following eight (8) components:

A. TITLE

- The title should highlight the research to be conducted by the competitor and gain the i. viewers' attention.
- The research poster should include the competitor's name, HOSA Division, HOSA ii. Chapter number, School Name, and Chartered Association.
- iii. 100 words maximum (suggested)

B. ABSTRACT

- An abstract is a brief summary of the research. i.
- Include the study's overall purpose and the research problem(s) investigated. ii.
- Describe the basic design and objectives of the study. iii.
- Explain the significant findings found as a result of the analysis. iν.
- Provide a brief summary of interpretations and conclusions. ٧.
- vi. 250 words maximum (suggested)

C. METHODS

- i. Describe the research methods that led to the results.
- Identify the target population. ii.
- Explain how data was collected accurately. iii.
- Explain how the data was analyzed. iv.
- Explain possible errors and biases in the methods ٧.
- 200 words maximum (suggested) νi.

D. RESULTS

- i. Describe qualitative and quantitative results.
- Present the data analysis employed. ii.
- iii. Explain why the results matter.
- iv. Use supportive charts and figures.
- 200 words maximum (suggested)

E. CONCLUSIONS

- Emphasize the significant results and try to convince why the results are interesting. i.
- Explain the relevance of your findings to your community and our world. ii.
- iii. 200 words maximum (suggested)

F. REFERENCES

- List the literature cited that gave guidance to the project. i.
- ii. American Psychological Association (APA) is the preferred resource in Health Sciences.
- iii. 100 words maximum (suggested)

G. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank anyone who helped make the project possible.

H. IMAGES

- i. Convert the data into graphs, tables, statistics, and/or quotes illustrating the findings. Include photos and illustrations that reflect the research. Use 2 to 5 images.
- ii. Logos from community agencies involved in the research are acceptable.

Round One - The Research Poster Template and Design - Pre-judged Digitally

- 11. Competitors will create the poster template (the file sent out to be professionally printed) in 48" x 36" landscape orientation.
- 12. <u>Any computer program</u> you choose to create the poster template is acceptable, as long as the final digital product can be saved as .pdf and the final printed product is 48" x 36 " landscape orientation. Posters should be designed digitally and not hand-drawn.
- 13. The items listed in rule #10 must be included, but colors, fonts, and overall design are at the discretion of the competitor.
- 14. Numerous websites are available showcasing sample poster designs and templates to show strengths and weaknesses of sample posters as a reference for competitors.
- 15. Tips for successful poster design. These are suggestions only and NOT required:

A. 3 Feet Rule

- i. Poster must be readable 3 feet away
- ii. Title font size: Minimum 65 pt.
- iii. Heading font size: Minimum 48 pt.
- iv. All other text sizes: Minimum 24 pt., suggested 36-42 pt.
- v. Use bold to provide emphasis, but avoid underlining and CAPITALS

B. Left to Right, Top to Bottom

- i. Most readers read top left to bottom, top right to bottom, in that order
- Strategically placing your content in order will help the reader to follow along and understand the content

C. Use Bullet Points

- i. Focus on highlights
- ii. Use brief statements instead of complete sentences

D. Context

- i. Write in active language, avoid using passive language
- ii. Use third third-person point of view to provide readers with an objective perspective
- iii. Use text boxes to write your text. This will make editing and layout adjustments easier.
- iv. Writing should be left justified.

E. Images

- i. Make sure images are high quality to avoid grainy or distorted photos
- ii. Photos typically print best at 300 dpi or greater and in TIFF format.
- iii. Use italicized captions (in a minimum 18-point font) to help your readers distinguish your caption from the rest of your text. Adding captions will also help your readers understand what your image represents.
- iv. Avoid long numeric tables.

The Research Poster Printing

- 16. Once the poster template is finalized as a .pdf, competitors should determine the best place and method for printing the final size of 48" x 36" (landscape orientation).
- 17. Avoid using dark backgrounds and patterns to help with printing costs and to be more visually appealing. Instead, use high-contrast colors on muted backgrounds.
- 18. To help save costs, posters can be printed on matte/economy-style paper and do NOT need to be printed

- on high-gloss paper. They can also be made of thin paper that is easily rolled up—there is no need for foam boards. As long as a push pin can attach the poster to the display boards at ILC, any printing material of the competitor's choice can be used.
- 19. Competitors should check with their local advisors for assistance on where to print the poster. Often, schools, colleges, universities, etc., have printing departments with discounted printing rates. Additionally, there are many online sites available that provide affordable printing options.

REQUIRED Digital Uploads

- 20. The following item(s) MUST be uploaded to the HOSA Digital Upload System by May 15:
 - a. Poster as one pdf file.

May 15 at midnight EST is the **final deadline**, and there will be **NO EXCEPTIONS** to receipt of the required materials after the deadline.

- 21. Detailed instructions for uploading materials can be found at: https://hosa.org/competitive-event-digital-uploads/
- 22. State Leadership Conference (SLC) vs. HOSA's International Leadership Conference (ILC)
 - a. **State Leadership Conferences.** The competitor must check with their Local Advisor for all state-level processes used for competition, as digital uploads may or may not be required.
 - b. International Leadership Conference.
 - o If a competitor uses the HOSA Digital Upload System as a requirement at the SLC, the competitor MUST upload an ADDITIONAL time for ILC by May 15.
 - If the HOSA Digital Upload System is NOT used at the competitor's SLC, it is still the competitor's responsibility to upload the product for HOSA's ILC no later than May 15.
 Not using the HOSA Digital Upload System at a competitor's State Leadership Conference is not an exception to the rule.
- 23. The FINAL ILC digital upload deadline is May 15. We STRONGLY suggest not waiting until the last minute to upload online to avoid user challenges with the system.
- 24. For ILC, the digital materials uploaded by May 15 will be PRE-JUDGED. Competitors who do not upload materials are NOT eligible for the presentation portion of the competition and will NOT be given a competition appointment time at ILC. All digital content uploaded as of May 15 will be used for prejudging at ILC.
- 25. The competitor is responsible for ensuring the digitally submitted poster is a significant enough file to view effectively for pre-judging.

Poster Setup at ILC

- 26. Competitors must bring their printed poster to the ILC competition to reference during the presentation and to use during the required display time.
- 27. When instructed, the competitor will have five (5) minutes to attach their research poster to the provided standing bulletin board. HOSA will provide each competitor with four (4) push pins to attach the poster to the bulletin board.
- 28. Chartered Associations and ILC event staff can use different setup methods to showcase the Research Posters. These could include attaching the posters to walls, laying them flat on tables, or using other appropriate methods.

Required Display Time Poster Session

29. All competitors in this event at the International Leadership Conference are required to attend the HOSA Display Time Poster Session, as scheduled per the conference program. Competitors will set up and stand with their posters, sharing their research with conference delegates. Failure to attend the Poster Session (Display Time) will result in a 15-point deduction, assessed in Tabulations.

30. Exhibits must be picked up by competitors as instructed. Any exhibits not picked up **within the given timeframe** will become the property of HOSA-Future Health Professionals and may be discarded.

Round Two - Judging of the Research Poster and Presentation

- 31. The top competitors from Round One in each division will advance to Round Two, the full presentation. The number of advancing competitors will be determined by criteria met in Round One, as well as space and time available for Round Two. Round Two finalists will be announced on-site at ILC per the conference agenda.
- 32. Competitors will report to the event room at their assigned appointment time to present a 4-minute prepared oral presentation to the judges.
- 33. Competitors will stand next to their research poster for the presentation.
- 34. During the four (4) minute-prepared presentation, a timecard will be shown with one (1) minute remaining, and the presentation will be stopped at the end of the 4 minutes.

Presentation Content

- 35. Begin the presentation with an "elevator pitch" a short, enthusiastic introduction to the research that draws the judges in and sets the stage for why the research is essential.
- 36. The presentation should be clearly connected to the poster content, but should not simply duplicate it. It should complement the information on the poster and engage the audience's interest.
- 37. Highlight the salient points of the research focus on key findings and implications.
- 38. The use of index card notes during the presentation is permitted. Electronic notecards (on a tablet, smartphone, laptop, etc., are permitted) but will not be shown to judges. While notes are allowed, the most successful competitors will know the information on the poster well enough that they do not need to look at notes or the poster except to point out a feature of interest.

Recognition of Research Posters in Fall HOSA e-Magazine

39. The top 3 winners from each division at the International Leadership Conference (ILC) may have their abstracts featured in the fall edition of HOSA's e-Magazine. This opportunity allows HOSA members to showcase their work to a broader audience, inspiring interest in health-related research and academic excellence. For more information about material submission rules, see GRRs.

Final Scoring

- 40. Scores from Round One will be added to Round Two to determine the final results.
- 41. In the event of a tie, a tiebreaker will be determined by the areas on the rating sheet section(s) with the highest point value in descending order.

Future Opportunities

Graduating from high school or completing your postsecondary/collegiate program does not mean your HOSA journey has to end. As a HOSA member, you are eligible to become a HOSA Lifetime Alumni Member - a free and valuable opportunity to remain connected, give back, and help to shape the future of the organization. Learn more and sign up at hosa.org/alumni.

RESEARCH POSTER

Round 1- The Research Poster- Pre-judged Digitally

Section # Division:	Competitor Name & # Judge's Name
, , ,	15 will be PRE-JUDGED for round one. Competitors who do not
	competition and will NOT be given a competition appointment ay 15 is what will be used for pre-judging at ILC.

A. Overview	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1.Research Question	The Research Question posed is health-related, specific, and reflects a deep understanding of an issue that needs addressing in the competitor's local community. It is evident the competitor was thorough in developing the question.	benefit from being more specific and more action- oriented. There is	The Research Question sufficiently addresses a health topic, but leaves the judges wanting more clarification or information to fully understand the question posed.	The Research Question is confusing, not fully thought out, and/or not a good representation of a health issue.	The Research Question is drastically lacking substance or is not included at all.	
B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
				-		SCORE
Content	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	
1. Title	A title is included and the poster contains: competitor's name, Division, Chapter #, School Name, and Chartered Association.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR Title is missing or all requirements are not met	
2. References	At least one reference is included on the poster.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR No references are included on the poster.	
3. Acknowledgement	At least one person or community organization is acknowledged on the poster.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Poster not submitted OR No acknowledgements are made	
B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
4. Abstract	The Abstract does an excellent job summarizing	The Abstract included sufficient	The information provided in the	Some information was provided in the	Poster not submitted OR	
	the research. It clearly describes the purpose of the research, the overall methods, major findings, and a succinct summary of the conclusions. The abstract leaves the judges excited about learning more!	details to the purpose of the research, some of the methods, some findings, and is a good summary of the conclusions. The judges are curious about learning more.		Abstract but was mostly surface-level and key points were missing.	The Abstract is missing or did not describe all key items.	
5. Methods	The research methods are explicitly explained, including: 1) target population 2) how data was collected 3) how data was analyzed 4) how data was shared 5) A review of possible errors and biases is also included.	The research methods were explained. Some supporting points needed more detail, but all 5 items were covered.	Some of the research methods were explained but included only 4 of the 5 requirements.	The research methods explanation was limited and only included 2 or 3 of the 5 requirements.	Poster not submitted OR The research methods were not explained or included and/or left the judges with more questions than answers.	

B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
6. Results	The results of the research	The results of the	The results of the	The results of the	Poster not submitted	
	are presented and	research are	research are	research are limited	OR	
	explained in a way that	presented and	presented but the	and significant gaps		
	makes sense and can be	explained but	explanation is not	are evident. No	The results of the	
	easily understood. It is clear		clear. There seems to	, ,	research are not	
	what was discovered and	remain. It is clear	be important	the results matter.	included and no	
	an additional explanation	what was	information that		description given of	
	about why the results	discovered but	should have been		why they matter.	
	matter is included.	additional	included. Minimal			
			explanation about why			
		why the results	results matter.			
	<u> </u>	matter is needed.			5	
7. Conclusions	The conclusion provides a	The conclusion is	The conclusion		Poster not submitted	
		mostly concise and		justification of the	OR	
	of the research question,	does a good job of	-	research question	The competitor failed	
	explains the relevance of	summarizing the	research question.	nor how results are	The competitor failed to include	
	findings to the community	justification of the	Questions remain as to how the results can	relevant nor if they	conclusions or the	
	and/or world, and explains why the results are	research question, the relevance of	be used or why the	are conclusive.	conclusions of the	
	conclusive.	the results, and	results are conclusive.		were out of scope.	
	conclusive.	why they are	lesuits are coriciusive.		were out or scope.	
		conclusive. More				
		information is				
		needed.				
8. Images	2-5 images (graphs, tables,	2-5 images are	2-5 images are	2-5 images are	Poster not submitted	
o. imagos	illustrations, photos, logos,	included and they	included that	included but their	OR	
	etc.) are included. Images		adequately connect to		OI (
	used add excellent value to		the research. They do	research and	0-1, or more than 5	
	the overall poster,	value to the poster	not enhance nor	process is only fair.	images are included	
	complimenting the text,	and accurately	distract from the	They distract from	9	
	illustrating the findings, and	representing the	poster.	the overall appeal		
	reflecting key research.	details of the	F	of the poster and/or		
	They stand out above	research and		do not accurately		
	others.	process. They		reflect the research		
		however, lack the		project.		
		special 'wow		' '		
		factor"				
C. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Design	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Artistic Design	The artistic quality is	The artistic quality	The poster	Basic levels of	Poster not submitted	
•	exceptional. The design is			artistic design are	OR	
	vibrant, balanced, visually	stands out. The	design choices,	incorporated into		
	pleasing and pushes the	design elements	showcasing some	the poster. Better	The design is	
	boundaries of artistic	seem to be well-	artistic features.	design/color	simplistic and not	
	expression. The design	thought out and	Some of the poster	choices should be	visually appealing.	
	choices take the poster to	comprehensive.	lacks artistic details	incorporated to		
	the next level and has that		that took away from	assure the design		
	"wow factor"		the overall visual of	of the poster is		
			the poster.	pleasing to the eye.		
2. Appearance /	The poster is exceptionally		The poster was basic		Poster not submitted	
Organization	neat, organized, & error-	organized. The		organization and/or	OR	
	free. Information is clearly	content has a	organization and	contained several		
	displayed & easy to	logical flow with		spelling errors. The		
	understand & follow.	only minimal errors		flow of information	too busy or lacks	
	Content is strategically	and does a good		seemed to be out of	•	
	placed to enhance the	job enhancing the		order and it was	support the content.	
	research & the poster can	research process.		difficult to read the		
	easily be seen from 3 feet			poster from 3 feet	OR poster is hand	
	away. Poster is created			away.	drawn.	
	digitally (not hand drawn).					
		Subtotal	Points for Pre-J	udging Resear	rch Poster (95):	
		- Jantotal I				

RESEARCH POSTER

Round 2- The Presentation

Section #		
Division:	Judge's Name	

D. Presentation	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	15 points	12 points	9 points	6 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Opening "Elevator Pitch"	The presentation starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the	The elevator pitch does a good job setting the stage for the rest of the presentation, but does not "wow" the judges.	The presentation starts with an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an overall draw for the judges.	There is an attempt made to begin with an elevator pitch, but the overall execution is lacking.	No elevator pitch was shared during the presentation	
2. Presentation of the Research	research is important. The presentation of the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter.	The content and messaging of the research was presented in a clear and concise manner. Most of the appropriate connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter.	Information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though.	Presenters shared little knowledge of the overall research process, and the information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter.	Little to no information was presented to the judges on the research process.	
3. Connection to Poster	The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The presentation does an excellent job complementing the information on the poster and engages the interest of the audience in a fresh way than what is seen on the poster. The competitor appropriately points to images, graphs, and sections of the poster during the presentation.	The presentation connects to the research poster and the majority of information is not duplicative. The presentation is somewhat unique from the poster. The competitor mostly does a good job of referencing the poster during the presentation.	The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster.	The competitor seems to read from the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time making the presentation unique.	The presentation seemed to be an afterthought. There was a disconnect between what was presented and the content of the poster.	

E. Presentation Delivery	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE	
1. Voice Pitch, tempo, volume,	The competitor's voice was loud enough to hear.	The competitor spoke loudly and clearly enough to	The competitor could be heard most of the time.	Judges had difficulty hearing /understanding	The competitor's voice is too low or monotone.		
quality	The competitor varied rate & volume to enhance	be understood. The competitor varied rate OR	The competitor attempted to use some variety in	much of the speech due to little variety in rate or volume.	Judges struggled to stay focused during the majority		
	the speech. Appropriate pausing was employed.	volume to enhance the speech. Pauses were attempted.	vocal quality, but not always successfully.		of presentation.		
2. Stage Presence	Movements &	The competitor	Stiff or unnatural	Most of the	No attempt was		
Poise, posture, eye	gestures were purposeful &	maintained adequate posture	use of nonverbal behaviors. Body	competitor's posture, body	made to use body movement or		
contact, and enthusiasm	enhanced the delivery of the	and non- distracting	language reflects some discomfort	language, and facial expressions	gestures to enhance the		
Cittiusiasiii	speech & did not	movement during	interacting with	indicated a lack of	message. No		
	•	the speech. Some	audience. Limited	enthusiasm for the	interest or		
	language reflects	gestures were	use of gestures to	topic. Movements	enthusiasm for the		
	comfort interacting	used. Facial	reinforce verbal	were distracting.	topic came		
	with the audience.	expressions and	message. Facial		through in		
	Facial expressions	body language	expressions and		presentation.		
	& body language	sometimes	body language are				
	consistently	generated an	used to try to				
	generated interest	interest and	generate				
		enthusiasm for the	enthusiasm but				
	the topic.	topic.	seem forced.				
3. Diction*, Grammar	Delivery	Delivery helps to	Delivery adequate.	Delivery quality	Many distracting		
and Pronunciation**		enhance	Enunciation and	minimal. Regular	errors in		
	enhances	message. Clear	pronunciation	verbal fillers (ex:	pronunciation		
	message. Clear	enunciation and	suitable. Noticeable	"ahs," "uh/ums," or	and/or articulation.		
	enunciation and	pronunciation.	verbal fillers (ex:	"you-knows")	Monotone or		
	pronunciation. No	Minimal vocal	"ahs," "uh/ums," or	present. Delivery	inappropriate		
	vocal fillers (ex:	fillers (ex: "ahs,"	"you-knows")	problems cause	variation of vocal		
	"ahs," "uh/ums," or	"uh/ums," or "you-	present. Tone	disruption to	characteristics.	1	
	"you-knows"). Tone	knows"). Tone	seemed	message.	Inconsistent with	1	
	heightened interest and complemented	complemented the verbal message	inconsistent at times.		verbal message		
	the verbal message.						
F. Poster Size	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE	
	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	SCORE	
1. Poster Size	Poster is 48" x 36"				Poster is not 48" x		
	landscape orientation.	N/A	N/A	N/A	36" and/or landscape orientation.		
			Subtotal	Points for Pres	sentation (65):		
	Total D	ointe (Projud			, ,		
	Total Points (Pre-judged Research Poster & Presentation) (160):						

^{*}Definition of Diction – Choice of words, especially with regard to correctness, clearness, and effectiveness. **Definition of Pronunciation – Act or manner of uttering officially.