

Last updated: September 15th, 2024

Researched Persuasive Writing & Speaking

PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENCES IN HOW THIS EVENT WILL BE RUN AT HOSA CANADA'S FALL LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (FLC):

- 1. The paper will be pre-judged using digital submissions at FLC. The submission link will be made available via the online course for this event. The submission deadline for FLC is 11:59 PM EST on November 8th, 2024.
- 2. Event will run according to the guidelines in the following pages, except that it will take place entirely online at FLC.
 - *Students participating online will be expected to turn their cameras on for the entire duration of the event and show judges their surroundings to help minimize the occurrence of academic dishonesty.
- Students will be emailed their presentation time slots and Zoom invitations ahead of time at FLC only.

PLEASE NOTE HOSA CANADA'S <u>SPRING LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (SLC)</u> WILL BE IN-PERSON AND THIS EVENT WILL BE RUN ACCORDING TO THE GUIDELINES IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, EXCEPT FOR THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENCE:

 The paper will be pre-judged using digital submissions at SLC. The submission link will be made available via the online course for this event. The submission deadline for SLC is 11:59 PM EST on March 2nd, 2025.



Researched Persuasive Writing and Speaking

eadership Event

Eligible Divisions: Secondary & Postsecondary / Collegiate	Pre-Judged: pdf of Paper	Digital Upload: YES
Solo Event: 1 competitor	Round 1: Speech	



New for 2024 - 2025

Editorial updates have been made.

Event Summary

Researched Persuasive Writing and Speaking allows HOSA members to gain the knowledge and skills required to research a health issue, prepare written documentation supporting a thesis, and present information orally. This competitive event requires competitors to develop a speech and written paper, either for or against the provided annual health topic.

Topic for 2024-2025:

Should GLP-1 agonists have open access for anyone who wishes to use it?

Dress Code

Proper business attire or official HOSA uniform. Bonus points will be awarded for proper dress.

Competitor Must Provide:

- Competitor uploads the paper to the HOSA Digital Upload System by May 15 for ILC competition (see advisor regarding SLC requirements and deadlines)
- Photo ID
- Index cards or electronic notecards (optional)

General Rules

1. Competitors must be familiar with and adhere to the General Rules and Regulations.

The Research Paper - Pre-judged Digitally

- 2. The research paper will include the following four (4) pages:
 - A. Page 1
 B. Pages 2 and 3
 C. Page 4+ (or more if reference list takes up multiple pages)
 Title Page Body of paper
 Reference page(s)
- 3. **Title Page:** Create a title page, including the following: Event name, Competitor Name, HOSA Division, HOSA Chapter #, School Name, Chartered Association, Title of Paper including Topic Stance, Title page centered, <u>One page only</u>. (A creative design or pictures may be used but will not affect the score.)
- 4. Body of Paper formatting:
 - A. Pages are one-sided, typed
 - B. 12 pt. Arial font, double-spaced, in English
 - C. 1" margins on 8 1/2" x 11" paper
 - D. Running header with last name, & name of the event, on the top left side of each page (not counting title page)
 - E. Include the page number on the top right side of each page (not counting the title page)

- 5. **Reference Page:** List the literature cited to guide the written paper and speech. American Psychological Association (APA) is the preferred resource in Health Sciences. *Points will be awarded for compiling a clean, legible reference page(s), but the formatting of the reference page(s) is not judged.*
- 6. No plagiarism is allowed & work must be the competitors per the GRR's.

REQUIRED Digital Uploads

- 7. The following item(s) MUST be uploaded to the HOSA Digital Upload System by May 15:
 - a. The Research Paper as a pdf file

May 15 at midnight EST is the **final deadline**, and there will be **NO EXCEPTIONS** to receipt of the required materials after the deadline.

- 8. Detailed instructions for uploading materials can be found at: https://hosa.org/competitive-event-digital-uploads/
- 9. State Leadership Conference (SLC) vs. HOSA's International Leadership Conference (ILC)
 - a. **State Leadership Conferences.** The competitor must check with their Local Advisor for all state-level processes used for competition, as digital uploads may or may not be a requirement.
 - b. International Leadership Conference.
 - i. If a competitor uses the HOSA Digital Upload System as a requirement at the SLC, the competitor MUST upload an ADDITIONAL time for ILC by May 15.
 - ii. If the HOSA Digital Upload System is NOT used at the competitor's SLC, it is still the competitor's responsibility to upload the product for HOSA's ILC no later than May 15. Not using the HOSA Digital Upload System at a competitor's State Leadership Conference is not an exception to the rule.
- 10. The FINAL ILC digital upload deadline is May 15. We STRONGLY suggest not waiting until the last minute to upload online to avoid user challenges with the system.
- 11. For ILC, the digital materials uploaded by May 15 will be PRE-JUDGED. Competitors who do not upload materials are NOT eligible for the presentation portion of the competition and will NOT be given a competition appointment time at ILC. All digital content uploaded as of May 15 will be used for prejudging at ILC.

The Speech

- 12. The speech may or may not be worded exactly as written in the researched written paper. The main ideas must remain the same, but the competitor may elaborate in the speech.
 NOTE: Competitors may choose to bring their paper to the ILC competition to reference during the speech, but no points are awarded on the rating sheet for doing so.
- 13. Use of index card notes during the speech is permitted. Electronic notecards (on a tablet, smartphone, laptop, etc.) are allowed but may not be shown to judges. Props may **NOT** be used.
- 14. The speech may be up to four (4) minutes in length. The timekeeper shall present a flash card advising the competitor when one (1) minute remains. The competitor will be stopped and dismissed when the four minutes are up.

Final Scoring

- 15. Scores from pre-judged papers will be added to the presentation score to determine the final results.
- 16. Should a tie occur, scores on the rating sheet section(s) with the highest point value(s) will be used in descending order to break the tie.

RESEARCHED PERSUASIVE WRITING AND SPEAKING

Section #			npetitor #	
Division:	_ SS	PS/C	Judge's Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
One DDE Eile	of the se	mploted paper I Inlead	dad Onlina*, Vaa Na	

One PDF File of the completed paper Uploaded Online*: Yes ____ No

A. Written	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Paper	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Opening	The writer grabs	The writer	The audience is	The attention	Paper not	
Statement	attention of the reader.	somewhat grabs	reading with	device is	submitted OR	
	The introduction is	the reader's	some	unrelated to	attention device is	
	creative, imaginative, and thoughtful.	attention. The thesis is stated	engagement. The thesis	the topic. Thesis	missing. Thesis	
	The thesis clearly	and appropriate	needs strength	missing OR	inappropriate or	
	revealed and well-	for the paper. The	or structure.	forecast	missing AND	
	structured for the	forecast body so	Forecast	statement	forecast is	
	paper—forecasts body of	the audience	incomplete.	missing.	missing or	
	paper memorably and	knows the main			indistinguishable.	
	effectively.	points in brevity.				
2. Coverage of	The information included	Information	The quality of	Some	Paper not	
Assigned	high-quality details that	included sufficient	the information	information	submitted OR	
Event Topic	support the topic in a	detail relevant to	was limited to	provided	information was	
and Quality	thorough manner.	the topic.	support the	was relevant	unreliable	
of Information	Research was in-depth and beyond the obvious.	Research seemed	topic. The	to the topic.	and interfered	
information	revealing new insights.	to be in-depth. The coverage of	competitor provided an	Research provided	with ability of the audience to	
	Overall, the coverage of	the assigned topic	average amount	was mostly	understand the	
	the assigned topic was	was good.	of coverage on	surface-level	speech. Research	
	excellent.	Ü	the assigned	and the	was	
			topic.	competitor	irrelevant to the	
				missed key	assigned topic.	
				points.		
3. Originality	Writing reflects the	Writing reflects	Some original	Limited	Paper not	
	original thoughts of the	the original	thoughts are	originality is	submitted OR	
	author and extends a	thoughts of the author and	provided by the author.	provided by the author	There was evidence of	
	creative or unique idea, question or concept on	provides some	Creativity is	on the topic.	plagiarism.	
	the topic. No evidence of	unique ideas on	experimented	No evidence	piagianomi	
	plagiarism.	the topic. No	with on the topic.	of		
		evidence of	No evidence of	plagiarism.		
		plagiarism.	plagiarism.			
4. Conclusion	Conclusion is concise	Conclusion is	Conclusion	Conclusion	Paper not	
	and summarizes	mostly concise	provides a	may be	submitted OR	
	supporting points:	and summarizes	summary of	attempted	no conclusion is	
	restates the thesis in a new way. The reader is	the supporting points. The reader	supporting points: it does	but does not summarize	apparent in the essay.	
	satisfied with the	is indifferent with	not restate the	or restate	ossay.	
	conclusion and is left	the conclusion of	thesis.	thesis.		
	with something to think	the essay.				
A 18/mi4/	about.	01	A	Fe'n	D	JUDGE
A. Written Paper	Excellent 20 points	Good 15 points	Average 10 points	Fair 5points	Poor 0 points	SCORE
5.	The paper was	The paper was	The paper was	The paper	Paper not	
Persuasiveness	exceptionally persuasive	persuasive and	somewhat	provided	submitted OR	
i Graddarveness	and convincing. The	provided good	persuasive and	limited	the paper was not	
	competitor provided well-	reasons to agree	provided some	evidence of	persuasive and	
ļ	researched evidence that	with the	reasons to agree	competitor's	did not provide	
	reinforced their position	competitor's point	with the	point of view	evidence to	
	•					
	on the topic.	of view.	competitor's	and was not	support the	
	•	of view.	competitor's point of view.	very persuasive.	support the competitor's point of view.	

A. Written	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Paper	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	SCORE
6. Title Page	Title Page includes Competitor Name, HOSA Division, HOSA Chapter #, School Name, State/Chartered Assoc, Title of Paper including Topic Stance, Title page centered, One page.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Paper not submitted OR title page does not include all requirements OR is not present.	
7. Transitions	Writing has voice and is easily read aloud. Appropriate transitions are used to move from one supporting detail to the next. Word choice and syntax offer surprise, clarity and "just right" wording.	Writing has some voice and is easily read aloud. Transitions are used, but better wording could have been used.	Vocabulary or writing style needs further development in sentence variety, word choice, and fluency. Some basic transitions used.	Sentences are short, fragmented or run-ons. Flow of essay is hard to follow. Few to no transitions are used.	Paper not submitted OR no flow to writing. Difficult for reader to follow. No transitions used	
8. Grammar	Zero (0) grammatical errors found in this essay.	1-2 grammatical errors were found in this essay. They do not detract from the general flow of the essay.	3-4 errors were found in the essay, and they detract from the overall flow of the essay.	There are 5-6 grammatical errors present which detract from the overall meaning and flow of the essay.	Paper not submitted OR more than 6 errors were found in this essay. The errors are glaring, and the essay is difficult to read.	
9. Spelling & Punctuation	Zero (0) errors in spelling and punctuation were found in this essay.	1-2 errors in spelling or punctuation were found in this essay.	3-4 errors in spelling or punctuation in this essay.	5 errors in spelling or punctuation were found in this essay.	Paper not submitted OR more than 5 errors in spelling or punctuation were documented within the essay.	
10. Formatting	Pages: a. Are one-sided, typed, b. Use 12 pt. Arial font, double-spaced, in English, c. Are 1" margins on 8 ½" x 11" paper, d. Use running header with last name, event on top left, and page number top right side of each page (not counting title page). e. Are no more than two for body of paper	N/A	N/A	N/A	Paper not submitted OR all requirements are not met.	
11. Reference Page	The reference page is included with the paper.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Paper not submitted OR no reference page is included.	
		Subtotal Po	ints for Pre-Ju	udging Writ	ten Paper (90)	

B. Speech	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	15 points	12 points	9 points	6 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Introduction	The competitor	The competitor	The competitor	The	The competitor	
	grabs the	draws in the	provides an	introduction	does not provide	
	attention of the	audience with	average	provided by the	an introduction	
	audience in a	their	introduction of	competitor	that draws in the	
	way that is creative,	introduction and piques their	the topic and slightly sparks	lacks attention to detail and	audience and captures their	
	imaginative and	interest to want	the interest and	connection to	attention.	
	thoughtful. The	to learn more.	attention of the	the overall	ditorition.	
	thesis statement	The thesis	audience.	point of the		
	is clearly	statement		speech.		
	revealed and	connects to				
	well-structured for speech.	body of the speech.				
2. Overall	Information	Information	The quality of	Some	Information was	
coverage of	included high-	included	the information	information	unreliable	
assigned	quality details	sufficient detail	was limited to	provided was	and interfered	
event topic	that support the	relevant to the	support the	relevant to the	with ability of the	
and quality of	event topic in a	topic. Research	topic. The	topic.	audience to	
information.	thorough	seemed to be	competitor	Research	understand the	
	manner. Research was	in-depth. The coverage of the	provided an average amount	provided was mostly surface-	speech. Research was	
	in-depth and	assigned topic	of coverage on	level and the	irrelevant to the	
	revealed new	was good.	the assigned	competitor	assigned topic	
	insights. Overall,		topic.	missed key	and the	
	the coverage of			points of the	competitor	
	the assigned			assigned topic.	missed the point	
	topic was excellent.				of the topic.	
3. Conclusion	The competitor	The competitor	The competitor	The competitor	Review of the	
	reviews the	reviews the	reviews the	is missing a	thesis and main	
	thesis and main	thesis and main	thesis and main	review of the	points are	
	points of speech	points of	points clearly.	thesis or main	missing from the	
	in a memorable	speech in a	Underwhelming	points. The	conclusion.	
	and effective way that	clear way that provides an	conclusion.	conclusion was hard to follow.		
	provides an	adequate flow		nara to ronow.		
	effective flow	leading to the				
	leading to the	conclusion.				
	conclusion.				_	шрог
B. Speech	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE SCORE
Content 4.	20 points The speech is	15 points The speech	10 points The speech was	5 points The speech	0 points The speech was	
Persuasiveness	exceptionally	was persuasive	somewhat	provided	not persuasive	
	persuasive and	and provided	persuasive and	limited	and did not	
	convincing. The	good reasons to	provided some	evidence of	provide evidence	
	competitor	agree with the	reasons to	competitor's	to support the	
	provided well-	competitor's	agree with the	point of view	competitor's point	
	researched evidence that	point of view.	competitor's point of view.	and was not very	of view.	
	reinforced their		point of view.	persuasive.		
	position on the			po. 5 d. d. 17 c.		
	topic.					
C. Speech	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE SCORE
Delivery	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	JUONE
1. Voice	The competitor's	The competitor	The competitor	Judges had	The competitor's	
Pitch, tempo,	voice was loud enough to hear.	spoke loudly	could be heard most of the time.	difficulty	voice is too low or	
volume, quality	The competitor	and clearly enough to be	The competitor	hearing /understanding	monotone. Judges struggled	
quanty	varied rate &	understood.	attempted to use	much of the	to stay focused	
	valida rate d	The competitor	some variety in	speech due to	during most of the	
	enhance the	varied rate OR	vocal quality, but	little variety in	presentation.	
	speech.	volume to	not always	rate or volume.		
	Appropriate	enhance the	successfully.			
	pausing was	speech. Pauses				
	employed.	were attempted.				<u> </u>

C. Speech	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Delivery	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	SCORE
2. Stage Presence Poise, posture, eye contact, and enthusiasm	Movements & gestures were purposeful and enhanced the delivery of the speech and did not distract. Body language reflects comfort interacting with audience. Facial expressions and body language consistently generated a strong interest and enthusiasm for the topic.	The competitor maintained adequate posture and non-distracting movement during the speech. Some gestures were used. Facial expressions and body language sometimes generated an interest and enthusiasm for the topic.	Stiff or unnatural use of nonverbal behaviors. Body language reflects some discomfort interacting with audience. Limited use of gestures to reinforce verbal message. Facial expressions and body language are used to try to generate enthusiasm but seem somewhat forced.	The competitor's posture, body language, and facial expressions indicated a lack of enthusiasm for the topic. Movements were distracting.	No attempt was made to use body movement or gestures to enhance the message. No interest or enthusiasm for the topic came through in presentation.	
3. Diction*, Pronunciation* * and Grammar	Delivery emphasizes and enhances message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation. No vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Tone heightened interest and complemented the verbal message.	Delivery helps to enhance message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation. Minimal vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Tone complemented the verbal message	Delivery adequate. Enunciation and pronunciation suitable. Noticeable verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Tone seemed inconsistent at times.	Delivery quality minimal. Regular verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows") present. Delivery problems cause disruption to message.	Many distracting errors in pronunciation and/or articulation. Monotone or inappropriate variation of vocal characteristics. Inconsistent with verbal message.	
			Sı		h Points (80):	
					l Points (170):	

^{*} Definition of Diction – Choice of words especially with regard to correctness, clearness, and effectiveness.

** Definition of Pronunciation – Act or manner of uttering officially.