

Last updated: September 15th, 2024

Research Poster

PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENCES IN HOW THIS EVENT WILL BE RUN AT HOSA CANADA'S FALL LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (FLC):

- 1. Students are NOT required to include any results at FLC only. Students SHOULD, however, propose methods and predict conclusions at FLC only.
- 1. The remaining components of the research poster will be pre-judged using digital submissions at FLC. The submission link will be made available via the online course for this event. The submission deadline for FLC is 11:59 PM EST on November 8th, 2024.
- 2. Event will run according to the guidelines in the following pages, except that it will take place entirely online at FLC only.
 - *Students participating online will be expected to turn their cameras on for the entire duration of the event and show judges their surroundings to help minimize the occurrence of academic dishonesty.
- 3. Students will be emailed their presentation time slots and Zoom invitations ahead of time at FLC only.

PLEASE NOTE HOSA CANADA'S <u>SPRING LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (SLC)</u> WILL BE IN-PERSON AND THIS EVENT WILL BE RUN ACCORDING TO THE GUIDELINES IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, EXCEPT FOR THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENCE:

1. The research poster will be pre-judged using digital submissions at SLC. The submission link will be made available via the online course for this event. The submission deadline for SLC is 11:59 PM EST on March 2nd, 2025.



Research Poster

Leadership Event

Eligible Divisions: Secondary & Postsecondary / Collegiate	Pre-Judged: pdf of Poster	Digital Upload: YES
Solo Event: 1 competitor	Round 1: Presentation	Required Display Time: YES



New for 2024 - 2025

Clarification on the material that can be used for poster printing as well as the date range for eligible projects has been added. Editorial updates have been made.

Event Summary

Research Poster allows HOSA members to think critically about a health-related issue in their community, pose a research question surrounding the chosen topic, and conduct research on that topic. All competitors will develop a Poster showcasing their findings and present their research to a panel of judges.

Dress Code

Proper business attire or official HOSA uniform. Bonus points will be awarded for proper dress.

Competitor Must Provide

- Uploaded poster to the HOSA Digital Upload System by May 15 for ILC competition (see local advisor regarding SLC requirements and deadlines)
- Photo ID
- Printed Research Poster (48" x 36" landscape orientation) for ILC
- Index cards or electronic notecards for presentation (optional)

General Rules

Competitors must be familiar with and adhere to the <u>General Rules and Regulations</u>.

The Research Question

- 2. Competitors must pose a topic and research question that can be researched in their community.
- 3. Topics must be health-related, but flexibility is given to competitors to select something of interest and of local importance and relevance.
- 4. Examples of topics:
 - A. Community-Based Strategies to Reduce Mental Health Stigma
 - B. Combating Postpartum Depression in Teen Moms
 - C. Decreasing Juvenile Incarceration Rates by increasing the Presence of Positive Male Role Models

The Research Process

- 5. Once the research question is identified, competitors will determine the best method(s) for conducting their research. Research methods may include, but are not limited to:
 - A. survey(s)
 - B. interviews
 - C. scientific study
 - D. observational ethnography
- 6. It is the competitor's responsibility to obtain informed consent for any human subjects engaged in research. More information is available from HHS.gov">HHS.gov and their FAQ section.">FAQ section.

7. The research must be conducted within the current HOSA membership year (July 1, 2024 – May 15, 2025).

The Research Poster Content - Pre-judged Digitally

- 8. A Research Poster is developed to summarize the research question and research findings.
- 9. The best posters are self-contained and self-explanatory. Observers should be able to understand the content of your poster without the competitor being present.
- 10. The research poster will contain the following eight (8) components:

A. TITLE

- i. The title should highlight the research to be conducted by the competitor and gain the viewers' attention.
- ii. The research poster should include the competitor's name, HOSA Division, HOSA Chapter number, School Name, and Chartered Association.
- iii. 100 words maximum (suggested)

B. ABSTRACT

- i. An abstract is a brief summary of the research.
- ii. Include the study's overall purpose and the research problem(s) investigated.
- iii. Describe the basic design and objectives of the study.
- iv. Explain the significant findings found as a result of the analysis.
- v. Provide a brief summary of interpretations and conclusions.
- vi. 250 words maximum (suggested)

C. METHODS

- i. Describe the research methods that led to the results.
- ii. Identify the target population.
- iii. Explain how data was collected accurately.
- iv. Explain how the data was analyzed.
- v. Explain possible errors and biases in the methods
- vi. 200 words maximum (suggested)

D. RESULTS

- i. Describe qualitative and quantitative results.
- ii. Present the data analysis employed.
- iii. Explain why the results matter.
- iv. Use supportive charts and figures.
- v. 200 words maximum (suggested)

E. CONCLUSIONS

- Emphasize the significant results and try to convince why the results are interesting.
- ii. Explain the relevance of your findings to your community and our world.
- iii. 200 words maximum (suggested)

F. REFERENCES

- i. List the literature cited that gave guidance to the project.
- ii. American Psychological Association (APA) is the preferred resource in Health Sciences.
- iii. 100 words maximum (suggested)

G. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

i. Thank anyone who helped make the project possible.

H. IMAGES

- i. Convert the data into graphs, tables, statistics, and/or quotes illustrating the findings. Include photos and illustrations that reflect the research. Use 2 to 5 images.
- ii. Logos from community agencies involved in the research are acceptable.

The Research Poster Template and Design - Pre-judged Digitally

- 11. Competitors will create the poster template (the file sent out to be professionally printed) in 48" x 36" landscape orientation.
- 12. <u>Any computer program</u> you choose to create the poster template is acceptable, as long as the final digital product can be saved as .pdf and the final printed product is 48" x 36 " landscape orientation. Posters should be designed digitally and not hand drawn.
- 13. The-items listed in rule #10 must be included, but colors, fonts, and overall design are at the discretion of the competitor.
- 14. Numerous websites are available showcasing sample poster designs and templates to show strengths and weaknesses of sample posters as a reference for competitors.
- 15. Tips for successful poster design. These are suggestions only and NOT required:

A. 3 Feet Rule

- i. Poster must be readable 3 feet away
- ii. Title font size: Minimum 65 pt.
- iii. Heading font size: Minimum 48 pt.
- iv. All other text sizes: Minimum 24 pt., suggested 36-42 pt.
- v. Use bold to provide emphasis, but avoid underline and CAPITALS

B. Left to Right, Top to Bottom

- i. Most readers read top left to bottom, top right to bottom, in that order
- ii. Strategically placing your content in order will help the reader to follow along and understand the content
- C. Use Bullet Points
 - i. Focus on highlights
 - ii. Use brief statements instead of full sentences

D. Context

- i. Write in Active language, avoid using passive language
- ii. Use third person point of view to provide readers with an objective perspective
- iii. Use text boxes to write your text. This will make editing and layout adjustments easier.
- iv. Writing should be left justified.

E. Images

- i. Make sure images are high quality to avoid grainy or distorted photos
- ii. Photos typically print best at 300 dpi or greater and in TIFF format.
- iii. Use italicized captions (in minimum 18-point font) to help your readers distinguish your caption from the rest of your text. Adding captions will also help your readers to understand what your image represents.
- iv. Avoid long numeric tables.

The Research Poster Printing

- 16. Once the poster template is finalized as a .pdf, competitors should determine the best place and method for printing the final size of 48" x 36" (landscape orientation).
- 17. Avoid using dark backgrounds and patterns to help with printing costs and to be more visually appealing. Instead, use high-contrast colors on muted backgrounds.
- 18. To help save costs, posters can be printed on matte/economy-style paper and do NOT need to be printed on high-gloss paper. They can also be made of thin paper that is easily rolled up—there is no need for foam boards. As long as the poster can be attached by a push pin to the display boards at ILC, any printing material of the competitor's choice can be used.

19. Competitors should check with their local advisors for assistance on where to print the poster. Often schools, colleges, universities, etc., have printing departments with discounted printing rates. Additionally, there are many online sites available that provide affordable printing options.

REQUIRED Digital Uploads

- 20. The following item(s) MUST be uploaded to the HOSA Digital Upload System by May 15:
 - a. Poster as one pdf file.

May 15 at midnight EST is the final deadline, and there will be NO EXCEPTIONS to receipt of the required materials after the deadline.

- 21. Detailed instructions for uploading materials can be found at: https://hosa.org/competitive-event-digital-uploads/
- 22. State Leadership Conference (SLC) vs. HOSA's International Leadership Conference (ILC)
 - a. State Leadership Conferences. The competitor must check with their Local Advisor for all state-level processes used for competition, as digital uploads may or may not be required.
 - b. International Leadership Conference.
 - If a competitor uses the HOSA Digital Upload System as a requirement at the SLC, the competitor MUST upload an ADDITIONAL time for ILC by May 15.
 - If the HOSA Digital Upload System is NOT used at the competitor's SLC, it is still the competitor's responsibility to upload the product for HOSA's ILC no later than May 15. Not using the HOSA Digital Upload System at a competitor's State Leadership Conference is not an exception to the rule.
- 23. The FINAL ILC digital upload deadline is May 15. We STRONGLY suggest not waiting until the last minute to upload online to avoid user challenges with the system.
- 24. For ILC, the digital materials uploaded by May 15 will be PRE-JUDGED. Competitors who do not upload materials are NOT eligible for the presentation portion of the competition and will NOT be given a competition appointment time at ILC. All digital content uploaded as of May 15 will be used for prejudging at ILC.
- 25. The competitor is responsible for ensuring the digitally submitted poster is a significant enough file to view effectively for pre-judging.

Poster Setup at ILC

- 26. Competitors must bring their printed poster to ILC competition to reference during the presentation and to use during the required display time.
- 27. When instructed, the competitor will have five (5) minutes to attach their research poster to the provided standing bulletin board. HOSA will provide each competitor with four (4) push pins to attach the poster to the bulletin board.
- 28. Chartered Associations and ILC event staff can use different setup methods to showcase the Research Posters. These could include attaching the posters to walls, laying them flat on tables, or using other appropriate methods.

Required Display Time Poster Session

- 29. All competitors in this event at the International Leadership Conference are required to attend the HOSA Display Time Poster Session, as scheduled per the conference program. Competitors will set up and stand with their posters, sharing their research with conference delegates. Failure to attend the Poster Session (Display Time) will result in a 15-point deduction, assessed in Tabulations.
- 30. Exhibits must be picked up by competitors as instructed. Any exhibits not picked up within the given timeframe will become the property of HOSA-Future Health Professionals and may be discarded.

Judging of the Research Poster and Presentation

- 31. Per item #24 above, posters will be pre-judged before ILC.
- 32. Competitors will again report to the event room at their assigned appointment time to present a 4-minute prepared oral presentation to the judges.
- 33. Competitors will stand next to their research poster for the presentation.
- 34. During the four (4) minute-prepared presentation, a timecard will be shown with one (1) minute remaining, and the presentation will be stopped at the end of the 4 minutes.

Presentation Content

- 35. Begin the presentation with an "elevator pitch" a short, enthusiastic introduction to the research that draws the judges in and sets the stage for why the research is essential.
- 36. The presentation should be clearly connected to the poster content but should not simply duplicate it. It should complement the information on the poster and engage the audience's interest.
- 37. Highlight the salient points of the research focus on key findings and implications.
- 38. The use of index card notes during the presentation is permitted. Electronic notecards (on a tablet, smartphone, laptop, etc., are permitted) but will not be shown to judges. While notes are allowed, the most successful competitors will know the information on the poster well enough that they do not need to look at notes or the poster except to point out a feature of interest.

Final Scoring

- 39. Scores from pre-judged posters will be added to the presentation score to determine the final results.
- 40. In the event of a tie, a tiebreaker will be determined by the areas on the rating sheet section(s) with the highest point value in descending order.

RESEARCH POSTER

Section # Division:	Competitor Name & # Judge's Name
	vill NOT be given a competition appointment time at

A. Overview	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
7.1. 0 70. 7.0	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1.Research	The Research	The Research	The Research	The Research	The Research	
Question	Question posed is	Question is health-	Question sufficiently	Question is	Question is	
Question	health-related,	related but could	addresses a health	confusing, not fully	drastically lacking	
	specific, and reflects	benefit from being	topic, but leaves the		substance or is not	
	' '		judges wanting more	thought out, and/or	included at all.	
	a deep	•	, ,	not a good	included at all.	
	understanding of an	more action-	clarification or	representation of a		
	issue that needs	oriented. There is	information to fully	health issue.		
	addressing in the	some detail lacking				
	competitor's local	to make it stand	question posed.			
	community. It is	out.				
	evident the					
	competitor was					
	thorough in					
	developing the					
	question.					
B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	SCORE
1.Title	A title is included	4 pointo	o poniko	2 pointo	Poster not	
	and the poster				submitted OR	
	contains:				Title is missing or	
	competitor's name,				all requirements are	
	Division, Chapter #,	N/A	N/A	N/A	not met	
	School Name, and					
	State/Chartered					
	Association.					
2.References	At least one				Poster not	
	reference is included				submitted OR	
	on the poster.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No references are	
					included on the	
					poster.	
3.Acknowledgement	At least one person				Poster not	
	or community				submitted OR	
	organization is	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	
	acknowledged on	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	acknowledgements	
	the poster.				are made	
D. Dootor	Eveellent	Cood	Avenage	Feir	Door	JUDGE
B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	SCORE
Content	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	
4.Abstract	The Abstract does	The Abstract	The information	Some information	Poster not	
	an excellent job	included sufficient	provided in the	was provided in the	submitted OR	
	summarizing the	details to the	Abstract to	Abstract but was	The Abertain	
	research. It clearly	purpose of the	summarize the	mostly surface-level	The Abstract is	
	describes the	research, some of	purpose, methods,	and key points were	missing or did not	
		the methods, some		missing.	describe all key	
	research, the overall		conclusions is limited		items.	
	methods, major		and/or some of these			
	findings, and a	the conclusions.	components are			
	succinct summary of	The judges are	missing.			
	the conclusions. The	curious about				
	abstract leaves the	learning more.				
	judges excited about					
	learning more!					
1						

B. Poster	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
Content	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
5. Methods	The research	The research	Some of the	The research	Poster not	
	methods are	methods were	research methods	methods explanation	submitted OR	
	explicitly explained,	explained. Some	were explained but	was limited and only	Th	
	including:	supporting points needed more detail,	5 requirements.	included 2 or 3 of the 5 requirements.	The research methods were not	
		but all 5 items were	5 requirements.	5 requirements.	explained or	
	collected	covered.			included and/or left	
	3) how data was				the judges with	
	analyzed				more questions	
	4) how data was				than answers.	
	shared					
	5) A review of possible errors and					
	biases is also					
	included.					
6. Results	The results of the	The results of the	The results of the	The results of the	Poster not	
	research are	research are	research are	research are limited	submitted OR	
	presented and	presented and	presented but the	and significant gaps		
		explained but some	•	are evident. No	The results of the	
	that makes sense and can be easily	questions remain. It		explanation of why	research are not	
	understood. It is	is clear what was discovered but	to be important information that	the results matter.	included and no description given of	
	clear what was	additional	should have been		why they matter.	
	discovered and an	explanation about	included. Minimal		,,	
	additional	why the results	explanation about			
	explanation about	matter is needed.	why results matter.			
	why the results					
7. Conclusions	matter is included.	The conclusion is	The conclusion	There is not a solid	Dootor not	
7. Conclusions	The conclusion provides a short and	The conclusion is	provides minimal	There is not a solid justification of the	Poster not submitted OR	
	solid justification of	does a good job of	justification of the	research question	Submitted Oft	
	the research	summarizing the	research question.	nor how results are	The competitor	
	question, explains	justification of the	Questions remain as	relevant nor if they	failed to include	
	the relevance of	research question,	to how the results	are conclusive.	conclusions or the	
	findings to the	the relevance of the	,		conclusions drawn	
	community and/or	results, and why they are conclusive.	the results are conclusive.		were out of scope.	
	world, and explains why the results are	More information is	conclusive.			
	conclusive.	needed.				
8. Images	2-5 images (graphs,	2-5 images are	2-5 images are	2-5 images are	Poster not	
	tables, illustrations,	included and they	included that	included but their	submitted OR	
	photos, logos, etc.)	do a good job of	adequately connect	connection to the		
			to the research. They		0-1, or more than 5	
	Images used add	to the poster and	do not enhance nor	process is only fair. They distract from	images are	
	excellent value to the overall poster,	accurately representing the	distract from the poster.	the overall appeal of	included	
	complimenting the	details of the	P00101.	the poster and/or do		
	text, illustrating the	research and		not accurately reflect		
	findings, and	process. They		the research project.		
	reflecting key	however, lack the				
	research. They stand out above	special 'wow factor"				
	others.					
C. Poster Design	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
or rootor boorgin	10 points	8 points	6 points	4 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Artistic Design	The artistic quality is		The poster	Basic levels of	Poster not	
2001911	exceptional. The	is good; the design	incorporates	artistic design are	submitted OR	
	design is vibrant,	stands out. The	balanced design	incorporated into the		
	balanced, visually	design elements	choices, showcasing	poster. Better	The design is	
	pleasing and pushes		some artistic	design/color choices	simplistic and not	
	the boundaries of	thought out and	features. Some of	should be	visually appealing.	
	artistic expression.	comprehensive.	the poster lacks	incorporated to		
	The design choices take the poster to		artistic details that took away from the	assure the design of the poster is pleasing		
	the next level and		overall visual of the	to the eye.		
	has that "wow factor"		poster.	10 th 0 0 yo.		
<u> </u>						

10 points 2. Appearance / Organization The poster is exceptionally neat, organized. A progranized in content of the Research The poster is exactly placed away. Poster is poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is presented digitally (not hand drawn).	C. Poster Design	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
The poster is corpanization of companization and could use more organization and thought to be understood. In organization and could use more organization and could use more organization and thought to be understood. In organization and thought to be understood. In organization and thought to be understood. Inderstood. In organization and thought to be understood. In organization and the understo	O. I Oater Design						SCORE
organized, & error free, Information is dearly displayed & logical flow with clearly displayed & logical flow with clearly displayed & follow. Content is strategically placed to enhance the research & the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is away. Poster is away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). D. Presentation Content 15 points Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95):	2. Appearance /	The poster is			The poster lacked		
free. Information is clearly displayed & easy to understand & follow. Content is strategically placed to enhance the research & the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95):	Organization				organization and/or	submitted OR	
Clearly displayed & easy to understand & follow. Content is strategically placed to enhance the research & the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): D. Presentation Content 15 points 12 points 1. Opening "Elevator Pitch" starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the piudge in, & sets the stage for why the research of the research dresearch question, methods, results, and implications of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research question of the research question of the research question of the research question of the research pupility organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question of the research pupility organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question of the research pupility organized, clear, and implications of the research question of the research pupility organized, clear, and highlighted in a dimplications of the research question of the research pupility organized, clear, and highlighted in a dimplications of the research pupility organized to the propertier own of the research process. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. Presentation to the research process and highlighted in a dimplications of the research process. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The competitor did and an addition is pask freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. The competitor did and process, and highlighted to a dimplications. The subject matter. The competitor did and process and highlighted to the research process and highlighted to the research process. The competitor seems to read from the poster at mice and process and the						The poster is sither	
asy to understand & follow. Content is oble enhancing the research & the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). D. Presentation Content 15 points 12 points 12 points 12 points 13 points 13 points 13 points 14 points 14 points 15 p			•				
strategically placed to enhance the research as the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): D. Presentation Content 15 points 1.0 pening "Elevator Pitch" The presentation strain with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in & sets with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research guestion, methods, results, and implications of the research the subject matter. 2. Presentation of the Research fine free search the competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster (away the bottom of the presentation is clearly connected to the research but does not duplicate it. The presentation is clearly connected to the research but does not duplicate it. The presentation is freesearch but does not duplicate it. The presentation is of information is poster. S. Connection to Poster (away the scale of the research process) and the appropriate connections were dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search process) and the majority of the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search process) and the majority of the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search process) and the majority of the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search process) and the majority of the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search process) and the majority of the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search process) and the subject matter. S. Connection to Poster (away the search poster) and the majority of the search poster (away the subject matter) and the majority of the search poster (away the		, , ,		understood.			
to enhance the research & the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is oreated digitally (not hand drawn). Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95):		,					
research & the poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): D. Presentation Content 15 points 12 points 12 points 15 points 15 points 15 points 15 points 15 points 15 points 16 points 16 points 16 points 16 points 16 points 17 points 17 points 18 points 18 points 18 points 19 points 18 points 19 points 1		strategically placed	research process.		difficult to read the		
poster can easily be seen from 3 feet away. Poster is created digitally (not hand drawn). Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): D. Presentation Content 15 points 12 points 15 points 12 points 1. Opening "Elevator Pitch" accellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in & seit the stage for the research is important. The presentation of the Research file research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The presentation to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The presentation to the poster what was a disconnect between what was sent and the majority of information time.					· •	•	
Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): D. Presentation Content 15 points 15 points 15 points 15 points 16 points ("Elevator Pitch") The presentation starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for where research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95): Good 12 points 4 points 5 points 8 points 4 points 5 points 1 points 4 points					away.	drawn.	
Subtotal Points for Pre-Judging Research Poster (95):		'					
Description Presentation Content 15 points 15							
D. Presentation Content 15 points 16 points 16 points 16 points 16 points 17 points 17 points 17 points 18 points 18 points 18 points 18 points 18 points 19							
D. Presentation Content 15 points 15 points 1.0 pening "Elevator Pitch"		hand drawn).					
1. Opening "Elevator Pitch" The presentation starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research connections were dusting notes and clearly had a mastery of the Sone to the research but does not the research poster but does not the research of the research o							
The presentation starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research paster relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research draws properlitor was and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation of starts with an elevator pitch but it starts with an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an overall draw for the judges. The presentation of the research was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research subject matter. The presentation of the research was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the appropriate connections were question, methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation of poster but does a device pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an overall draw for the judges. The presentation of the research was presented in an elevator pitch but it is lacking enthusiasm, and an overall draw for the presentation shout and information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process, and the research process. The judges were left with unanswered question, but the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The presentation of the research the research process. The judges were left with unanswered and concise in process. The judges were left with unanswered and concise in order to speak on the subject							
#Elevator Pitch" starts with an excellent and enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research and highlighted results, and implications of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster ### Competitor of the research poster but does not duplicate it. The content to description of pitch that introduces the research and enthusias mand and evator pitch, but the overall evacution is lacking. The presentation of the research and elevator pitch, but the overall execution is lacking. The presentation of the research and elevator pitch, but the overall execution is lacking. The presentation of the research and elevator pitch, but the overall execution is lacking. The presentation beat the presentation of the presentation and elevator pitch, but the overall execution is lacking. The presentation of the presentation and evalt price is lacking overall execution is lacking. The presentation of the presentation and evalt price is lacking overall execution is lacking. The presentation is lacking overall execution is lacking. The presentation but the overall execution is lacking. The presentation overall execution is lacking. The presentation overall execution is lacking. ### Presenters shared little knowledge of mostly organized and included basic information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process. The understance information about the research process. The competitor was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor was shared was not delivered							
enthusiastic elevator pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research 2. Presentation of the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster enthusiastic elevator rest of the presentation, but does not "wow" the judges. The content and moverall draw for the judges. Information shared messaging of the research was presented in a overall draw for the judges. Information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic organized and included basic of the appropriate connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The			pitch does a	starts with an	made to begin with		
pitch that introduces the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research The presentation of the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the competitor could speak freely without using notes and Clearly connected to Poster Disconnection to Poster pitch that introduces the research, draws the presentation, but the presentation, was the presentation, but the presentation, and on verall draw for the judges. The content and messaging of the research was presented in a clear and concise and included basic information about the research process. The connections were drawn between the eaphropriate connections were drawn between the competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The						the presentation	
the research, draws the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research The presentation of the research as exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the Subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation, but does not "wow" the judges. The content and messaging of the research was presented in a clear was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process, and the information about the research process, and the process, and the process, and the process, and the connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation, but does not "wow" the judges. Information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process. Shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation of the research process. Shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation to the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation to the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation to the research process. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation to the research process. The process. The process. The process the process and the majority of information seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation to the process. The process the process are t			•				
the judge in, & sets the stage for why the research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research The presentation of the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation of the research was exceptionally organized, clear and concise manner. Most of the appropriate connections were the methods, results, and implications of the research the methods, results, and implications of the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The					execution is lacking.		
the stage for why the research is important. 2. Presentation of the Research The presentation of the research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the Subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation of the research is important. The content and messaging of the research was presented in a clear and concise month of the appropriate connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The of information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. Information shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise mostly organized. Ititle knowledge of the overall research process, and the operation shared by competitors was mostly organized and included basic information about the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The of information shared by competitors was mostly organized. Ititle knowledge of the word information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise mostly organized. It was not versearch process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The competitor could shared by competitors was mostly organized information about the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The competitor swas mostly organized little knowledge of the everall research poster process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The competitor was							
2. Presentation of the Research is important. The presentation of the Research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation of the research was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. The presentation of the research was presented to the pidges were left with unanswered questions though. The presentation of the research was presented to the pidges were left with unanswered questions though. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The				juuguu.			
The presentation of the Research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation of the Research the research poster but does not duplicate it. The		,	, ,				
the Research information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research process, and the information was presented in a clear and concise mostly organized and included basic information about the research process, and the information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the appropriate connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation connects to the research process. The competitor search process, and the information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster and concise manner. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor was and concise manner. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation confident in the subject matter. The presentation to the research process. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation to the presea							
information was exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clear and toncise exceptionally organized and included basic information about the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The presentation is clear and concise manner. Most of the research process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The process in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation is clear had a mastery of the subject matter. The presentation is clear had a madequate job of connecting the poster. The presentation is dear and concise manner. The process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The presentation to the research process. The research was presented in a clear and concise manner. The competitor with unanswered questions though. The presentation is clear the overall research process, and the information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor with unanswered questions though. The presentation is clear the research process. The research process. The process. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the process, and the information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor is in order to speak on the research process. The research process. The research process. The competitor seemed to the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between the research process. The organized and concise manner. The competitor is formation and included basic information that was shared was not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The competitor of the research		•					
exceptionally organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The	the Research		0 0				
organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster Organized, clear, and highlighted relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not delivered in a clear and concise manner. The judges were left with unanswered questions though. The methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster and the majority of information is order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was							
relevant details of the research question, methods, results, and implications of the competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The			•				
the research question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The research question, methods, results, and implications. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The The presentation is connections were drawn between the methods, results, and implications. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation is early in the majority of information is poster.		0 0	manner. Most of	the research			
question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster Question, methods, results, and implications of the research. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The Dut does not duplicate it.							
results, and implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The of information is competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster. The presentation is competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor seemed dependent on notes in order to speak on the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster and the majority of information is of information is poster.							
implications of the research. The competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The of information is competitor was confident in the subject matter. The presentation is connects to the research poster and the majority of information is competitor was confident in the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster and the majority of information is between what was							
competitor could speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The competitor to information is clearly connect with the subject matter. The presentation connects to the research poster and the majority of information is poster. the subject matter. The competitor was confident in the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was		·	,	quoonono mong			
speak freely without using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The The presentation connects to the research poster and the majority of information is clearly connect by the majority of information is clearly connect by the majority of information is clearly connected to the research poster and the majority of information is clearly connect between what was confident in the subject matter. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was confident in the subject matter. The presentation connects to the research poster and the majority of information is connecting the presentation to the poster.			implications. The				
using notes and clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The of information is clearly connects to the research poster and the majority of information is clearly connect matter. The presentation and the majority of information is clearly connecting the presentation to the poster. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was					the subject matter.		
clearly had a mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The competitor and the majority of information is clearly connects to the research poster and the majority of information is clearly connects to the research poster and the majority of information is clearly connection to the poster. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was							
mastery of the subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The competitor and the majority of information is clearly connects to the research poster and the majority of information is connecting the presentation to the poster. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was			Subject matter.				
subject matter. 3. Connection to Poster The presentation is clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The The presentation is connects to the research poster and the majority of information is connecting the presentation to the poster. The competitor did an adequate job of connecting the presentation to the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time The presentation seems to read from the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time between what was							
Poster Clearly connected to the research poster but does not duplicate it. The Clearly connected to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority of information is Connects to the research poster and the majority Connects to the research poster and the research poster a		subject matter.					
the research poster but does not duplicate it. The duplicate it. The the research poster and the majority of information is duplicate it. The duplicate it. The the poster aconnecting the presentation to the poster at times word for word, and has a hard time afterthought. There was a disconnect between what was							
but does not and the majority of information is poster. was a disconnect between what was	Poster						
duplicate it. The of information is poster. has a hard time between what was				•			
				'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		presentation does	not duplicative.	p	making the	presented and the	
an excellent job The presentation presentation content of the							
complementing the is somewhat unique. poster.					unique.	poster.	
information on the unique from the poster and engages poster. The							
the interest of the competitor							
audience in a fresh mostly does a							
way than what is good job of		way than what is	good job of				
seen on the poster. referencing the		seen on the poster.	referencing the				
The competitor poster during the							
appropriately points presentation.			presentation.				
to images, graphs, and sections of the							
poster during the							
presentation.							

E. Presentation Delivery	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
1. Voice	The competitor's	The competitor	The competitor	Judges had	The competitor's	
	voice was loud	spoke loudly and	could be heard	difficulty hearing	voice is too low or	
Pitch, tempo, volume,	enough to hear.	clearly enough to	most of the time.	/understanding	monotone.	
quality	The competitor	be understood.	The competitor	much of the speech	Judges struggled	
	varied rate &	The competitor	attempted to use	due to little variety	to stay focused	
	volume to enhance	varied rate OR	some variety in	in rate or volume.	during the majority	
	the speech.	volume to	vocal quality, but		of presentation.	
	Appropriate	enhance the	not always			
	pausing was	speech. Pauses	successfully.			
	employed.	were attempted.				
2. Stage Presence	Movements &	The competitor	Stiff or unnatural	Most of the	No attempt was	
	gestures were	maintained	use of nonverbal	competitor's	made to use body	
Poise, posture, eye	purposeful &	adequate posture	behaviors. Body	posture, body	movement or	
contact, and	enhanced the	and non-	language reflects	language, and	gestures to	
enthusiasm	delivery of the	distracting	some discomfort	facial expressions	enhance the	
	speech & did not	movement during	interacting with	indicated a lack of	message. No	
	distract. Body	the speech. Some	audience. Limited	enthusiasm for the	interest or	
	language reflects	gestures were	use of gestures to	topic. Movements	enthusiasm for the	
	comfort interacting	used. Facial	reinforce verbal	were distracting.	topic came	
	with the audience.	expressions and	message. Facial		through in	
	Facial expressions	body language	expressions and		presentation.	
	& body language	sometimes	body language are			
	consistently	generated an	used to try to			
	generated interest	interest and	generate			
	and enthusiasm for	enthusiasm for the	enthusiasm but			
	the topic.	topic.	seem forced.			
3. Diction*, Grammar	Delivery	Delivery helps to	Delivery adequate.	Delivery quality	Many distracting	
and Pronunciation**	emphasizes and	enhance	Enunciation and	minimal. Regular	errors in	
	enhances	message. Clear	pronunciation	verbal fillers (ex:	pronunciation	
	message. Clear	enunciation and	suitable. Noticeable	"ahs," "uh/ums," or	and/or articulation.	
	enunciation and	pronunciation.	verbal fillers (ex:	"you-knows")	Monotone or	
	pronunciation. No	Minimal vocal	"ahs," "uh/ums," or	present. Delivery	inappropriate	
	vocal fillers (ex:	fillers (ex: "ahs,"	"you-knows")	problems cause	variation of vocal	
	"ahs," "uh/ums," or	"uh/ums," or "you-	present. Tone	disruption to	characteristics.	
	"you-knows"). Tone	knows"). Tone	seemed	message.	Inconsistent with	
	heightened interest	complemented the	inconsistent at		verbal message	
	and complemented	verbal message	times.			
	the verbal				1	
	message.					
F. Poster Size	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0 points	SCORE
1. Poster Size	Poster is 48" x 36"	T points	o points	Z points	Poster is not 48" x	
I. I USIEI SIZE	landscape	N/A	N/A	N/A	36" and/or landscape	
	orientation.	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	orientation.	
Subtotal Points for Presentation (80):						
Total Points (175):						

^{*}Definition of Diction – Choice of words, especially with regard to correctness, clearness, and effectiveness. **Definition of Pronunciation – Act or manner of uttering officially.